

Open Ended Comments: Please specify "Other" arguments against the public safety assessment:

Ability to raise fee w/o asking
Against arguments don't fit my thinking.
Can't agree with any of the arguments. Support the assessment.
Can't think of any arguments against it
Can't think of any reason to be against
Can't think of two
Change to a millage
Communication by the township regarding this assessment was VERY poor
Current taxes should cover cost
Do not want tax increase on fixed income.
Don't appreciate sheriff speed traps on white ll rd.
Don't believe there are 2 reasons NOT to.
Don't understand
Don't want to lose insurance
For safety
Hard to answer as I don't have any valid reason to not want to pay for these baseline services
Herp derp, I am a dumbass who doesn't think things through.
I am willing to pay to support public safety
I can't think of a good reason
I demand these services
I do not want to pay twice for police
I don't agree with any of the above
I don't have an argument against
I don't have any
I don't think there is a good argument against
I have no arguments against
I have no arguments against
I have no arguments against the assessment. It is of vital importance.
I only put Police response times because I had to pick two and that's the only answer close. I support the police presence,
I would like to township to do some research on this issue - not just stay with the status quo
I'm hurting economically
I'm not in favor of continuing the police service as it is today. The only time I ever saw them was speed traps or chatting for extended periods at the gas station. If ticketing speeders is lowering "crime" then they succeeded.
In every case of needing police services the state police have responded and not the county police.
In my mind there is no argument against
Living in Township 5 years. Seen police 1 time.
My alarm went off last week it took them one hour to come, is this faster service, they said they had a domestic violence call that they had to go to first, my house could have been emptied and I could have been hurt or worse in that hour, why pay more for no service.
My ISO rating is already a 9....can't get much worse than that.
Nearest fire stations not staffed
Need it
No arguments against
No arguments against
No good response

Open Ended Comments: Please specify "Other" arguments against the public safety assessment:

No is not an option!!!
No other argument. this is bizarre.
No other reason
None
None - have no objections
None are acceptable
None are intelligent arguments in creating a secure and desirable community to live in.
None of the above
None of the above
None of the above are good reasons
None of the above; question; if you are at home or out in your car ,a problems happens What Will Be Your First action ? CALL911
None. There are no valid arguments
Not in Fire Authority
Only reason against is cost
Pay as you go
Police presence is non-existent except for White Lake Rd speed traps and fire services are used much too loosely by resident (they call if they have a hangnail...)
Protection is important for a dwelling, but why pay a tax for police and fire protection on vacant property
Public safety is important and I'll pay \$25 yr for it!
Public safety should be funded out of the "general fund" and not require "extra taxes"... ridiculous...
Public safety should come from general fund and not require a special assessment
Really bad question
Same as above!!!!
Since we have neither service at our resident in the past twenty five years we do not know the response time to be a problem.
Some might object to #5.
Stupidity
The old system was fine
The wording of this survey is a joke. There was no additional police presence except for the speed trap on white lake rd. the fire agreement is a huge ripoff
There are no good options against public safety assessment
There are all stupid reasons
There are no arguments against
There are no arguments against this proposal
There are no good aguments except I only think of me
There are no good arguments against

Open Ended Comments: Please specify "Other" arguments against the public safety assessment:

There are no good arguments against the assessment
There are no good reasons!!!
There are none
There are none
There is no best argument against
There is no good argument
There is no good argument against
There is no good argument against public safety
There is no good argument against this...
There is no good argument for not renewing. It is short sighted and not practical.
There is no good arguments
There is no good reason to not have this assessment.
There is none
These are not good arguments against having protection.
Too many taxes.
Unknown
Want you to stop wasteful spending in other areas and direct those funds to this so no increase
We definitely want fire protection
We do not have police protection. Why pay for it?
We want the protection
We were not against it.
What is Tyrone Twp. doing differently that causes this continued issues with fire and police coverage for the township?
Your survey is misleading and trying to scare residents. you don't want answers as to why it failed- you're trying to frighten people to vote for it.